



ON EQUI-ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY WITH RESPECT TO PART OF THE VARIABLES†

A. A. IGNAT'YEV

Donetsk

(Received 19 May 1998)

A system of equations of perturbed motion in which the right-hand sides are almost periodic functions of time is considered. A sufficient condition for the trivial solution of the system to be equi-asymptotically stable with respect to part of the variables is proved. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The main method for investigating the stability and asymptotic stability of the solution $x = 0$ of a system of differential equations of perturbed motion

$$\dot{x} = X(x, t); \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \tag{1}$$

with respect to part of the variables is Lyapunov's Second Method. It is based on the construction of a Lyapunov function $V(x, t)$.

Rumyantsev [1] proved a basic theorem according to which the solution $x = 0$ of system (1) is stable with respect to part of the variables, which is an analogue of Lyapunov's stability theorem, on the assumption that the function $V(x, t)$ is y -positive-definite and its derivative along trajectories of Eqs (1) satisfies the condition $dV/dt \leq 0$. Later [2, 3] a theorem was proved stating that the trivial solution of system (1) is asymptotically stable with respect to part of the variables, on the assumption that the derivative dV/dt along trajectories of Eqs (1) is y -negative-definite.

In applied problems one is frequently able to construct a y -positive-definite function $V(x, y)$ whose derivative dV/dt along trajectories of Eqs (1) is only non-positive. Under those conditions, one can prove [4, 5] that the trivial solution of an autonomous system of equations of perturbed motion

$$\dot{x} = X(x) \tag{2}$$

is asymptotically stable.

It has been shown [6] that the analogous theorem is not true for the general case of a non-autonomous system.

In this note we will consider a more general case than that of an autonomous system: on the assumption that the right-hand sides of system (2) are almost periodic functions of it, will be proved that the solution $x = 0$ is equi-asymptotically y -stable (that is, $y(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to the initial perturbations x_0).

By analogy with previously introduced notation [7], we let x_1, \dots, x_m ($m > 0, n = m + p, p \geq 0$) denote the variables with respect to which the stability of the solution $x = 0$ of system (1) is being investigated. For convenience, we will denote these variables by $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the other variables by $z_j = x_{m+j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, p$), that is, we express the vectors x and X in the form

$$x = (y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_p)^T \equiv (y, z)^T$$
$$X = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m, Z_1, \dots, Z_p)^T \equiv (Y, Z)^T$$

We also put

$$\|y\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|z\| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^p z_j^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|x\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = (\|y\|^2 + \|z\|^2)^{1/2}$$

We will introduce a few definitions.

Definition 1 [8]. A continuous function $f(t)$ with values in R^n will be called uniformly almost periodic if, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and every $r > 0, L = L(\epsilon, r)$ exists such that, in any interval $[\alpha, \alpha + L(\epsilon)], \alpha \in (-\infty; +\infty)$ there is at least one number τ for which

$$\|f(t) - f(t + \tau)\| < \epsilon, \quad -\infty < t < +\infty$$

†Prikl. Mat. Mekh. Vol. 63, No. 5, pp. 871–875, 1999.

Definition 2 [9]. A continuous function $f(x, t)$ ($x \in R^n, -\infty < t < +\infty$), with values in R^n will be called uniformly almost periodic if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $r > 0, L = L(\varepsilon, r)$ exist such that, in any interval $[\alpha, \alpha + L(\varepsilon, r)], \alpha \in (-\infty; +\infty)$, there is at least one number τ for which

$$\|f(x, t) - f(x, t + \tau)\| < \varepsilon, \quad -\infty < t < +\infty, \quad \|x\| < r$$

Definition 3 [7]. The motion $x = 0$ is said to be equi-asymptotically y-stable if, for every $t_0 \geq 0, \delta(t_0) > 0$ exists such that $\|y(t; t_0, x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\|x_0\| < \delta(t_0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$; that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0, T(\varepsilon, t_0) > 0$ exists such that $\|x_0\| < \delta$ implies $\|y(t; t_0, x_0)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq t_0 + T$.

Consider the equations of perturbed motion (1). The function $X(x, t)$ is assumed to be defined, continuous and Lipschitzian with respect to x in the domain

$$t \in R, \quad \|y\| < H, \quad \|z\| < +\infty, \quad H = \text{const} \tag{3}$$

It will also be assumed that the solutions of system (1) are z-continuable. This means [7] that any solution $x(t)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$ such that $\|y(t)\| \leq H$.

Theorem. Suppose the equations of perturbed motion (1) are such that

1. every solution of system (1) that begins in a neighbourhood of the point $x = 0$ is bounded;
2. one can construct a function $V(x, t)$ which is almost periodic in t, y -positive-definite, continuously differentiable and satisfies the inequality $dV/dt \leq 0$ in domain (3); moreover, the derivative dV/dt may vanish only at points of a set that does not contain an entire semi-trajectory $x(x_0, t_0, t), (t_0 < t < +\infty)$ of system (1) (not counting the trivial solution).

Then the solution $x = 0$ is equi-asymptotically y-stable.

Before proving the theorem, we will formulate a few auxiliary propositions.

Lemma 1. The functions $X(x, t)$ and $V(x, t)$ are uniformly almost periodic.

This lemma was proved in [9].

Lemma 2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ an unbounded increasing sequence of ε -almost-periods $\{\tau_i\}$ exists, common to the functions $X(x, t)$ and $V(x, t)$

$$\|X(x, t) - X(x, t + \tau_i)\| < \varepsilon, \quad |V(x, t) - V(x, t + \tau_i)| < \varepsilon$$

The proof follows from Kronecker's theorem [10].

Lemma 3. Let $x(x_0, t_0, t), (t_0 < t < +\infty)$ be a semi-trajectory of system (1) satisfying the initial condition $x(x_0, t_0, t) = x_0$ and contained in domain (3); let $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging monotonically to zero, and let $\{\tau_k\}$ be some sequence of ε_k -almost-periods of the vector function $X(x, t)$ (each ε_k is associated with the ε_k -almost-period τ_k), where $\{\tau_k\}$ is monotone increasing and $\tau_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x(x_k, t_0, t^*) - x(x_0, t_0, t^* + \tau_k)\| = 0, \quad x_k = x(x_0, t_0, t_0 + \tau_k) \tag{4}$$

where t^* is some time greater than t_0 .

The proof may be found in [11].

To prove the theorem, we will use a method described in [11, 12].

We first note that y-stability of the trivial solution follows from Rumyantsev's theorem [1].

It can be shown that $\|y(x_0, t_0, t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Suppose the contrary. The function $V(x(x_0, t_0, t), t)$ is not monotone increasing, since $dV/dt \leq 0$. Hence the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(x(x_0, t_0, t), t) = V_0$ exists and $V(x(x_0, t_0, t), t) \geq V_0$ for any $t > t_0$. It follows from our assumption that $V_0 \neq 0$. Now let $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging monotonically to zero. For any ε_i a sequence of almost-periods $\tau_{i1}, \tau_{i2}, \dots, \tau_{in}$ for the functions $V(x, t)$ and $X(x, t)$ exists, which converges to infinity. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} |V(x, t) - V(x, t + \tau_{in})| < \varepsilon_i, \quad \|X(x, t) - X(x, t + \tau_{in})\| < \varepsilon_i \\ \|x\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad -\infty < t < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that $\tau_{in} < \tau_{i+1, n}$, putting $\tau_{kk} = \tau_k$. Consider the sequence of points $x_k = x(x_0, t_0, t_0 + \tau_k)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). By Condition 1 of the theorem, this sequence is bounded. Hence we can extract a convergent subsequence. For simplicity, we may assume that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ itself is convergent. Let x^* be a limit point of the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. It follows from our assumption that $x^* \neq 0$. Using the fact that $V(x, t)$ is continuous and almost periodic, we can write

$$V(x^*, t_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V(x_k, t_0 + \tau_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V(x(x_0, t_0, t_0 + \tau_k), t_0 + \tau_k) = V_0$$

Consider the semi-trajectory $x(x^*, t_0, t)$ ($t_0 < t < \infty$). By assumption, it must contain points at which $dV(x(x^*, t_0, t), t)/dt < 0$, that is, one can find $t^* > t_0$ such that $V(x(x^*, t_0, t^*), t^*) = V_1 < V_0$.
 By the continuity of the solutions as functions of the initial data, we have

$$x(x^*, t_0, t^*) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x(x_k, t_0, t^*)$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V(x(x_k, t_0, t^*), t^*) = V_1 \tag{5}$$

Since $X(x, t)$ is almost periodic and condition (4) is true, we obtain

$$\|x(x_k, t_0, t^*) - x(x_0, t_0, t^* + \tau_k)\| \leq \gamma_k, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k = 0 \tag{6}$$

The fact that $V(x, t)$ is uniformly almost periodic implies that

$$|V(x, t^*) - V(x, t^* + \tau_k)| < \varepsilon_k \tag{7}$$

It follows from (5) and (6) that

$$|V(x(x_0, t_0, t^* + \tau_k), t^*) - V_1| < \eta_k, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \eta_k = 0 \tag{8}$$

Adding inequality (7) for $x = (x(x_0, t_0, t^* + \tau_k))$ and inequality (8), we obtain

$$|V(x(x_0, t_0, t^* + \tau_k), t^* + \tau_k) - V_1| < \eta_k + \varepsilon_k; \quad \eta_k + \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}$$

But

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V(x(x_0, t_0, t^* + \tau_k), t^* + \tau_k) = V_0 \tag{10}$$

Relations (9) and (10) contradict one another, since $V_1 < V_0$. Consequently, our assumption that $V_0 \neq 0$ is false, so $V_0 = 0$. Since $V(x, t)$ is y -positive-definite, it follows that

$$a(\|y\|) \leq V(x, t) \tag{11}$$

where a is some Hahn function [13]. Thus, $V(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Using estimate (11), we conclude that $a(\|y(x_0, t_0, t)\|) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $y(x_0, t_0, t) \rightarrow 0$.

By the assumption of the theorem, $V(x, t) \geq a(\|y(x_0, t_0, t)\|)$. We have already proved that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(x(x_0, t_0, t), t) = 0$. The derivation of the limit relationship $\|y(x_0, t_0, t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in x_0 in a δ -neighbourhood of the origin follows from a previous result [7], the number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, t_0)$ being determined from the y -stability condition: $\|x_0\| \leq \delta \rightarrow \|y(t)\| < \varepsilon$ for any $t > t_0$. This proves that the trivial solution of system (1) is indeed equi-asymptotically y -stable.

Remark. An analogous theorem may be proved for quasi-periodic systems, which constitute a special case of almost periodic systems, by the method of limit systems, using Theorem 2.2 of [14] and the results of [15].

Example. Consider the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz}{dt} &= z \sin(y_1^2 + y_2^2) (\sin t + \sin \sqrt{3}t) - z^3 \\ \frac{dy_1}{dt} &= -y_2^3 - y_1(y_2^2 + 1)(1 + z^2 + \sin^2 2t + \cos^2 \sqrt{2}t) \\ dy_2 / dt &= y_1^3 \end{aligned}$$

Take $V = (y_1^4 + y_2^4)/4$. Then

$$dV/dt = -y_1^4(y_2^2 + 1)(1 + z^2 + \sin^2 2t + \cos^2 \sqrt{2}t) \leq 0$$

dV/dt may vanish only in the set $y_1 = 0$, which does not include whole semi-trajectories of the system. Consequently, by the theorem just proved, the trivial solution of our system is equi-asymptotically stable with respect to y_1, y_2 .

I wish to thank A. Ya. Savchenko for suggesting the problem and A. M. Kovalev for discussing the paper.

REFERENCES

1. RUMYANTSEV, V. V., The stability of motion with respect to part of the variables. *Vestnik Mosk. Gos. Univ., Ser. Mat., Mekh., Astron., Fiz, Khim.*, 1957, 4, 9–16.
2. RUMYANTSEV, V. V., Optimal stabilization of controllable systems. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.*, 1970, 34, 3, 440–456.
3. OZIRANER, A. S. and RUMYANTSEV, V. V., The method of Lyapunov functions in the problem of the stability of motion with respect to part of the variables. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.*, 1972, 36, 2, 364–384.
4. RUMYANTSEV, V. V., On the stability with respect to a part of the variables. In: *Symp. Math. 6: Meccanica Non-lineare e Stabilità*. Academic Press, London and New York, 1970, 243–265.
5. OZIRANER, A. S., Asymptotic stability and instability with respect to part of the variables. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.*, 1962, 26, 5, 885–895.
6. MATROSOV, V. M., The stability of motion. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.*, 1962, 26, 5, 885–895.
7. RUMYANTSEV, V. V. and OZIRANER, A. S., *Stability and Stabilization of Motion with Respect to Part of the Variables*. Nauka, Moscow, 1987.
8. ZUBOV, V. I., *Oscillations in Non-Linear and Controllable Systems*. Sudpromgiz, Leningrad, 1962.
9. KRASNOSEL'SKII, M. A., BURD, V. Sh. and KOLESOV, Yu. S., *Non-Linear Almost Periodic Oscillations*. Nauka, Moscow, 1970.
10. LEVITAN, B. M., *Almost Periodic Functions*. Gostekhteorizdat, Moscow, 1953.
11. SAVCHENKO, A. Ya. and IGNAT'YEV, A. O., *Some Problems of the Stability of Non-Autonomous Dynamical Systems*. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1989.
12. KRASOVSKII, N. N., *Some Problems of the Theory of Stability of Motion*. Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1959.
13. ROUCHE, N., HABETS, P. and LALOY, M., *Stability Theory by Liapunov's Direct Method*. Springer, New York, 1977.
14. ANDREYEV, A. S., The asymptotic stability and instability of the trivial solution of a non-autonomous system with respect to part of the variables. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.*, 1984, 48, 5, 707–713.
15. SHELL, G. R., Nonautonomous differential equations and topological dynamics, Pt 1; 2. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1967, 127, 2, 241–283.

Translated by D.L.